	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/738,345	MORALES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:	sipants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)		
(2) Kathryn Marra.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 April 2006	Time: <u>4:35pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	,	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1, 5-11, and 21-24			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
		,	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Kathryn Marra, Ms. Marra agreed to amend claims 1, 5-11, and 21-24 in order to correct minor defects and place the application in condition for allowance. In addition claims 21-24 have been renumbered as claims 20-23..